

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,953	02/22/2002	William J. Hennen	27047-4428USD2	6427
95261 Durham, Jones	7590 07/27/201 & Pinegar	1	EXAM	UNER
Intellectual Property Law Group		CHEN, STACY BROWN		
P.O. Box 4050 Salt Lake City,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@djplaw.com kolsen@djplaw.com cwickstrand@djplaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
**		
10/081,953	HENNEN ET AL.	
· ·		
Examiner	Art Unit	
STACY CHEN	1648	l

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

I INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statilizery period will apply and will expire SIX (ii) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fallular or reply within the safe or standed period for reply with in the safe or standed period for reply with my the safe or standed period for reply with my the safe or standed period for reply within the safe. Safe or standed period for reply within the safe or standed period for reply with my the safe or standed period for reply within the safe or standed period for reply within the safe or standed for safe or standed for reply within the safe or sa
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2011.
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) Claim(s) 1.2.7-16 and 18-23 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7-16 and 18-23</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application	Papers
- ppout.o	

9) In e specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Ackno	wiedgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🔲 All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attac	nmenu(s
41 E	Nation :

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(c)/IV all Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: .	

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and remarks filed June 20, 2011 are acknowledged and entered.
 Claims 1, 2, 7-16 and 18-23 are pending and under examination.

2. The objection to claim 1 is moot in view of Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

(New Rejection) Claims 1, 2, 7-16 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Claims 1 and 20, and all dependent claims are drawn to methods that use an antigen "that corresponds to at least one pathogen to which the source animal is not normally exposed", or an "agent not normally present in an environment in which the source animal lives". This limitation does not appear to be supported by the specification as originally filed. While the claimed embodiments may be obvious variations on the original invention, Applicant does not appear to have contemplated, as a whole, that antigens from particular locations (or not from particular locations) be used.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,953

Art Unit: 1648

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

(New Rejection) Claims 1, 2, 7-16 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 20, and all dependent claims are drawn to methods that use an antigen "that corresponds to at least one pathogen to which the source animal is not normally exposed", or an "agent not normally present in an environment in which the source animal lives". The specification fails to provide definitions of the environments and normal exposure of animals to particular pathogens. It is not clear which pathogens would be considered within/outside of the animal's normal environment/exposure. Without a definition of these terms, one cannot determine the metes and bounds of the claims.

5. The following is a quotation of the fourth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Subject to the [fifth paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112], a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. A claim in dependent form shall be construed to incorporate by reference all the limitations of the claim to which it refers.

(New Rejection) Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 4th paragraph, as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of the claim upon which it depends, or for failing to include all the limitations of the claim upon which it depends. Claim 13 is dependent on claim 1. Claim 1 is limited to transfer factor specific for the at least one pathogen. Claim 13 does not appear to further limit the specificity of the transfer factor.

Applicant may cancel the claim(s), amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent

Application/Control Number: 10/081,953

Art Unit: 1648

form, rewrite the claim(s) in independent form, or present a sufficient showing that the dependent claim(s) complies with the statutory requirements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 7-16 and 18-22 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dopson (PGPub 2002/0044942A1, "Dopson", published April 18, 2002, with priority to provisional application 60/233,400, filed September 18, 2000), for reasons of record.

Additionally, Dopson's antigens presumably include those that the animal is not normally exposed to, or is not usually present in the animals' normal environment. For example, chickens are preferred animals for producing transfer factor, and they are immunized with any of a variety of antigens that are not usually associated with chickens, such as HBV (page 3, paragraph [0037]). Further, the transfer factor produced is specific to the antigen (page 3, paragraph [0024]). Applicant indicates in the response filed June 20, 2011, that an affidavit may be filed once all other issues in this application are resolved.

Art Unit: 1648

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 7-16 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Tokoro (US Patent 5,080,895) in view of Kirkpatrick et al. (US Patent 5,840,700,

"Kirkpatrick"), for reasons of record. The newly introduced limitations have already been

addressed in the rejection set forth in the Office Action of December 4, 2009, pages 3-8).

Additionally, Kirkpatrick's antigens presumably include those that the animal is not normally

exposed to, or is not usually present in the animals' normal environment. For example, chickens

are preferred animals for producing transfer factor (according to Tokoro), and it would have been

obvious to have immunized with any of a variety of antigens that are not usually associated with

chickens (see Kirkpatrick, col. 5, lines 7-30). To immunize a chicken with EBV for example,

would be immunizing with a pathogen that a chicken is not normally exposed to in its natural

environment. These limitations have been addressed in full in the rejection set forth in the Office

Action of December 4, 2009, pages 3-8. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered

but fail to persuade. Applicant's arguments are related to the new claim limitations, which have

already been addressed in the rejection itself (see the Office Action of December 4, 2009, pages

3-8).

Art Unit: 1648

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STACY CHEN whose telephone number is 571-272-0896. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30), alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zachariah Lucas can be reached on 571-272-0905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/Stacy B. Chen/ Primary Examiner, TC1600